EXHIBIT A

Requested Grand Jury Selection Materials

- 1. The Jury Plan for the Northern District of California currently in effect and, if different in any respect, at the time the grand jurors who returned the Second and Third Superseding Indictments, were summoned in this case. This Plan is believed to be General Order 6: Plan for the Random Selection of Grand and Petit Jurors; as modified by General Order 77: In Re: Provisional Modifications to Jury Plan due to COVID-19 Public Health Emergency.
- 2. Any documents that reflect changes from previous procedures from the Jury Plan for the grand jury or creation of the grand jury because of COVID-19.
- 3. Any order of the Court that affects the previous procedures or the Jury Plan for the grand jury or creation of the grand jury because of the COVID-19 pandemic.
- 4. The jury division or divisions chosen for the grand jury empaneled from June 1, 2020 to July 28, 2020.
- 5. Any documents that reflect the description of reasons for the choice of the jury division or divisions for the grand jury empaneled from June 1, 2020 to July 28, 2020.
- 6. Any AO-12 form or JS-12 form created that relates to the Master Jury Wheels that were used to summon the grand jurors who returned the Second and Third Superseding Indictments in this case, as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1863(a).
- 7. Any other statistical or demographic analyses produced to ensure that the Master Jury Wheels that were used to summon the grand jurors who returned the Second and Third Superseding Indictments in this case, were in compliance with the Jury Plan, Jury Selection and Service Act, and Constitution.

1

¹ The Second Superseding Indictment refers to the Second Superseding Indictment returned on July 14, 2020 in *U.S. v. Holmes*, CR-18-00258-EJD. The Third Superseding Indictment refers to the Third Superseding Indictment returned on July 28, 2020 in the same case.

- 8. The date on which the Master Jury Wheels that were used to summon the grand jurors who returned the Second and Third Superseding Indictments in this case, were refilled as described in General Order No. 6 Section VII.
- 9. The record of calculations as described in the General Order No. 6 Section XIV.
- 10. Any general notice explaining the process by which names were drawn from the pool of qualified persons of each jury division for the grand jury panel.
- 11. The calculation of the number of potential jurors from San Francisco-Oakland, San Jose, and Eureka jury divisions to ensure compliance with the numbers listed in Section VII of General Order No. 6.
- 12. The source of data in electronic form for the Master Jury Wheels used to summon the grand jurors who returned the Second and Third Superseding Indictments in this case, as described in General Order No. 6 Sections VI, VIII, and XIV. The data should include, as available, Race, Gender, Ethnicity, Year of Birth, Zip Code, County, and Jury Division. The data should not include any personal information that could be used to identify any individuals such as name or street address.
- 13. The Master Jury Wheels data as described in the General Order No. 6 Sections VII, VIII and XIV, in electronic and accessible form that includes, Juror Number, Race, Gender, Ethnicity, Year of Birth, Zip Code, County and Jury Division. The data should not include any personal information that could be used to identify any individuals such as name or street address.
- 14. The date when the grand jurors who returned the Second and Third Superseding Indictments in this case were summoned.
- 15. The number of persons summoned from the Master Jury Wheels to be considered as grand jurors. This number should further be categorized by number per jury division.

- 16. The juror qualification and summons forms for the persons summoned to potentially become grand jurors.
- 17. The SurveyMonkey COVID-19 questionnaires sent to the prospective grand jurors.
- 18. The Juror Numbers for the persons selected as potential grand jurors from June 1, 2020 to July 28, 2020.
- 19. The disposition of each summoned potential grand juror as to excusal, deferment, disqualification or selection as described in General Order No. 6 Sections IX-XII.
- 20. The Juror Number for each grand juror who returned the Second and Third Superseding Indictments in this case.
- 21. The attendance record and reason for absence by date of each grand juror who returned the Second and Third Superseding Indictments in this case.